- 1	<b>{</b>		
1	WARRINGTON PARKER (SBN 148003)		
2	wparker@orrick.com JAZMIN HOLMES (SBN 295312)		
3	jholmes@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
4	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street		
5	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: +1 415 773 5700		
6	Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759		
7	Attorneys for Defendant Bao Ngo		
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT C	COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC		
10	T.O.C.T.I.B.I.C. B.I.S.T.I.C.		
11	United States of America,	Case No.	15-CR00127-014 WHO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPUL	ATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ]
13	V.	ORDER	TO RESCHEDULE FION REVOCATION
14	Bao Ngo,	HEARIN	
15	Defendant.	Date: Time:	March 7, 2019 1:30 p.m.
16	Defendant.	Judge:	Honorable William H. Orrick
17			
18	The above-captioned matter is currently so	cheduled for	a probation revocation hearing on
19	March 7, 2019, at 1:30 p.m. The main issue that is the subject of the probation revocation is		
20	whether Defendant Ngo committed a state criminal offense. Mr. Ngo has now made an initial		
21	appearance in connection with that state criminal	offense in th	ne County of Santa Clara.
22	//		
23	All parties acknowledge that the outcome	of the state	criminal charges will, in all
24	likelihood, be determinative of the veracity of the	e allegation t	hat Mr. Ngo violated probation by
25	committing a state crime. The parties agree that the most efficient course, therefore, is to		
26	continue the hearing on the charged probation revocation. As a result, the parties hereby stipulate		
27	that Mr. Ngo's probation revocation hearing be re	escheduled to	o May 30, 2019, at 1:30 p.m. The

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE PROBATION REVOCATION HEARING 15-CR00127-014 WHO

I			
1	parties ask this Court to enter an order consistent with this stipulation.		
2	Dated: February 28, 2019	WARRINGTON PARKER	
3		JAZMIN HOLMES Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
4			
5		By: <u>/s/ Jazmin Holmes</u> JAZMIN HOLMES	
6		Attorneys for Defendant  Bao Ngo	
7		Dao ngo	
8	Dated: February 28, 2019	KEVIN J. BARRY Assistant United States Attorney	
9		Assistant Office States Attorney	
10		By: /s/ Kevin J. Barry	
11		KEVIN J. BARRY Attorney for United States of America	
12		Attorney for Officer States of Afficines	
13			
14	(PROPOSED) ORDER		
1	IPROP	<del>'OSED</del>   ORDER	
15	[PROP	<del>'OSED</del>   ORDER	
15 16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS		
15 16 17		S SO ORDERED.	
15 16 17 18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	s so ordered.	
15 16 17 18 19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	s so ordered.  By:	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS	By: William S. Orrick	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE PROBATION REVOCATION HEARING 15-CR00127-014 WHO